### Hierarchical Structures of System Modeling with Information Granules

#### Witold Pedrycz

Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada and Systems Research Institute Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland

wpedrycz@ualberta.ca

May 16, 2015



Fuzzy sets and fuzzy models: a perspective

**Fundamentals of Granular Computing** 

Fuzzy rule-based models and their granular generalizations

Hierarchy of granular models and granular outliers

**Experiments** 

Conclusions

Since all models are wrong the scientist cannot obtain a "correct" one by excessive elaboration. On the contrary following William of Occam he should seek an economical description of natural phenomena. Just as the ability to devise simple but evocative models is the signature of the great scientist so overelaboration and overparameterization is often the mark of mediocrity.

J.E.P. Box Box, G. E. P. (1976), <u>"Science and Statistics"</u>, Journal of the American Statistical Association **71**: 791–799.1976

#### **Fuzzy sets and fuzzy modeling**

*numeric* representation of of membership grades (numeric membership functions)

Fuzzy models and their generalizations

#### fuzzy sets (1965)

numeric representation of of membership grades (numeric membership functions)

> type-2 fuzzy sets interval-valued fuzzy sets

fuzzy models

type-2 fuzzy models

Har fuzzy models

#### **Are Fuzzy Models Fuzzy ?**

**Existing plethora of fuzzy models** 

In their architectures and and design schemes, there is a prevailing, if not common, trend of viewing fuzzy models as numeric constructs

- Architectures involving fuzzy sets

however

- Design guided by numeric performance index (RMSE)
- Use of fuzzy models as predominantly numeric constructs (decoding, defuzzification), their manifestation at the numeric level





## From fuzzy models to granular fuzzy models



## **Granular Computing: An Introduction**

## **Information granules**

Information granules: entities composed of elements being drawn together on a basis of

similarity,

functional closeness,

temporal resemblance

spatial neighborhood, etc.

and subsequently regarded as a single semantically meaningful unit used in processing.

## **Information granularity**

Our ability to conceptualize the world at different granularities and to switch among these granularities is fundamental to our intelligence and flexibility.

It enables us to map the complexities of the world around us into simple theories that are computationally tractable to reason in.

J. R. Hobbs, Proc. IJCAI, 1985

### Information granularity: recognition and classification



## **Information granularity**



### Information granules: key features

Information granules as generic mechanisms of abstraction

Customized, user-centric and business-centric approach to problem description and problem solving

Processing at the level of information granules optimized with respect to the specificity of the problem

## **Information granules: from their conceptualization to realization**



# Information granules of higher type

**Information granule of type 2** – granule whose characterization (description) is another information granule (not a single number)

Examples: type -2 fuzzy sets, interval-valued fuzzy sets, probabilistic sets, uncertain probabilities...

Temperature *low* temperature (-10C) =0.7 *high* temperature (35C) =1.0

> *low* temperature (-10C) =[0.6, 0.8] *high* temperature (35C) =[0.95, 1.00]

## Information granules of higher order

**Information granule of order 2** – granule defined over a space composed of information granules

Examples: order -2 fuzzy sets

Temperature space of information granules **X**= {*low* temperature, *medium* temperature, *high* temperature)

comfortable weather defined in X

comfortable weather (low) = 0.4comfortable weather (medium) = 1.0comfortable weather (high) = 0.7

## Fuzzy rule-based models

#### **Fuzzy rule-based models**

Modular models composed of conditional "if-then" statements

describing behavior of system

#### -if condition then conclusion

with the condition (and conclusion) parts formalized in terms of Information granules

#### Takagi-Sugeno (TS) fuzzy models

Rules in the form

If  $\boldsymbol{x}$  is  $B_i(\boldsymbol{x})$  then  $\tilde{y}_i$  is  $f_i(\boldsymbol{x}), i = 1, 2, ..., c$ 

Local linear models

$$f_i(\boldsymbol{x}) = W_i + \boldsymbol{a}_i^T(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{v}_i)$$

Aggregation of local models

$$\hat{\mathcal{Y}} = \sum_{i=1}^{c} B_i(\boldsymbol{x}) f_i(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{c} B_i(\boldsymbol{x}) [w_i + \boldsymbol{a}_i^T (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{v}_i)]$$

#### Takagi-Sugeno (TS) fuzzy models



Fuzzy set B<sup>~</sup> of order-2 defined over the space of information granules  $\{B_1, B_2, ..., B_c\}$ 

### Takagi-Sugeno (TS) fuzzy models: detailed computing

$$\hat{\mathcal{Y}} = \sum_{i=1}^{C} B_i(\boldsymbol{x}) f_i(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{C} B_i(\boldsymbol{x}) [w_i + \boldsymbol{a}_i^T (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{v}_i)]$$

**Introduce** notation

$$\boldsymbol{z}_i = \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{v}_i$$

$$q = \sum_{i=1}^{c} B_i(\boldsymbol{x}) W_i$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{y}} = \boldsymbol{q} + \sum_{i=1}^{c} \boldsymbol{a}_{i}^{T} \boldsymbol{z}_{i}$$



Two key design phases:

Construction of condition parts of rules (B<sub>i</sub>)

Determination of parameters of local linear functions  $(\mathbf{a}_i)$ 

Data  $(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), (\mathbf{x}_2, y_2)..., (\mathbf{x}_N, y_N), \mathbf{x}_k$  in  $\mathbf{R}^d$ 

### **Design process (1)**

#### **Construction of condition parts of rules (B<sub>i</sub>)**

Determination of structure in the (d+1)-dimensional input – output space  $\mathbf{R}^{d+1}$ 

Fuzzy clustering (e.g., FCM) used in the development of  $B_i$  i=1, 2,..., c. The results are prototypes [ $v_i$ ,  $w_i$ ] formed in  $\mathbf{R}^{d+1}$  and clusters  $B_i$  (condition parts) in the input space

**Unsupervised learning – structure determination** 



#### **Optimization of parameters of local linear models**

#### Supervised learning with the performance index

$$\mathbf{Q} = \mathop{\overset{\mathsf{N}}{\overset{\mathsf{O}}{\underset{k=1}{\atop$$

Minimize Q with respect to  $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_c$ 

#### **Detailed computing (1)**

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_{k} = \boldsymbol{q}_{k} + \sum_{i=1}^{c} \boldsymbol{a}_{i}^{T} \boldsymbol{z}_{ki}$$

$$\boldsymbol{z}_{ki} = \boldsymbol{x}_k - \boldsymbol{\nu}_i$$

$$\boldsymbol{p} = [y_1 - q_1, y_2 - q_2, ..., y_N - q_N]^T$$

 $\boldsymbol{a} = [a_{11}, a_{12}, \dots, a_{1d}, a_{21}, a_{22}, \dots, a_{2d}, a_{31}, \dots, a_{c1}, a_{c2}, \dots, a_{cd}]^T$ 

#### **Detailed computing (2)**

$$\tilde{Z} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{z}_{11} & \boldsymbol{z}_{12} & \cdots & \boldsymbol{z}_{1c} \\ \boldsymbol{z}_{21} & \boldsymbol{z}_{22} & \cdots & \boldsymbol{z}_{2c} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{z}_{N1} & \boldsymbol{z}_{N2} & \cdots & \boldsymbol{z}_{Nc} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{Q} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} (\mathcal{Y}_{k} - q_{k} - \sum_{i=1}^{c} \boldsymbol{a}_{i}^{T} \boldsymbol{z}_{ki})^{2} = (\boldsymbol{p} - \tilde{Z}\boldsymbol{a})^{T} (\boldsymbol{p} - \tilde{Z}\boldsymbol{a})$$

$$\boldsymbol{a}_{\text{opt}} = (\tilde{Z}^T \tilde{Z})^{-1} \tilde{Z}^T \boldsymbol{p}$$

# Allocation of information granularity



#### Information granularity allocation

-form granular parameters of the model on a basis of numeric models

-information granularity as a design asset

# Allocation of information granularity: protocols

$$\boldsymbol{a}_{ij} = \begin{cases} \hat{l} & \min_{\substack{e \\ ij}} \boldsymbol{a}_{ij} \left(1 - \frac{e}{2}\right), \boldsymbol{a}_{ij} \left(1 + \frac{e}{2}\right)_{\div}^{\boldsymbol{0}} & \text{if } \boldsymbol{a}_{ij} \ 1 \ 0 \\ \hat{l} & e^{-\frac{e}{2}} \\ \hat{l} & e^{-\frac{e}{2}} \\ \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{if } \boldsymbol{a}_{ij} = 0$$

$$\boldsymbol{a}_{ij}^{+} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \max_{ij}^{\mathcal{R}} \boldsymbol{a}_{ij} (1 - \frac{e}{2}), \boldsymbol{a}_{ij} (1 + \frac{e}{2})_{\div}^{\mathbf{0}} & \text{if } \boldsymbol{a}_{ij} \ ^{1} \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & e/2 & \text{if } \boldsymbol{a}_{ij} = 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

## Allocation of information granularity: protocols

$$\boldsymbol{a}_{ij}^{-} = \begin{bmatrix} \lim_{i \to j} & \min\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{ij}\left(1 - \mathcal{G}_{ij}\right), \boldsymbol{a}_{ij}\left(1 + (1 - \mathcal{G}_{ij}\right)\right) & \text{if } \boldsymbol{a}_{ij}^{-1} \mathbf{0} \\ & -\mathcal{C}\mathcal{G}_{ij} & \text{if } \boldsymbol{a}_{ij}^{-1} \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\boldsymbol{a}_{ij}^{+} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \max \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{a}_{ij} (1 - \mathcal{G}_{ij} \mathcal{C}), \boldsymbol{a}_{ij} (1 + (1 - \mathcal{G}_{ij}) \mathcal{C}) \end{pmatrix} \text{ if } \boldsymbol{a}_{ij}^{-1} \mathbf{0}$$
  
$$\stackrel{\mathcal{C}}{=} \mathcal{C}_{ij} \qquad \text{ if } \boldsymbol{a}_{ij}^{-1} = \mathbf{0}$$

# Allocation of information granularity: protocols

$$\mathbf{a}_{ij}^{-} = \begin{cases} 1 & \min\left(\mathbf{a}_{ij}\left(1 - ge\right), \mathbf{a}_{ij}\left(1 + (1 - g)e\right)\right) & \text{if } \mathbf{a}_{ij}^{-1} \mathbf{0} \\ 1 & -eg & \text{if } \mathbf{a}_{ij} = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\boldsymbol{a}_{ij}^{+} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \max\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{ij}\left(1 - ge\right), \boldsymbol{a}_{ij}\left(1 + (1 - g)e\right)\right) & \text{if } \boldsymbol{a}_{ij}^{-1} 0 \\ \downarrow & eg & \text{if } \boldsymbol{a}_{ij} = 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Allocation of information granularity: summary



### Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)

swarm of particles operating in a multidimensional search space



particle interacts with other particles and analyzes its own history:

update of velocity

#### $\mathbf{v}(\text{iter} + 1) = \mathbf{v}(\text{iter}) + c_1 \mathbf{r} \cdot (\mathbf{local} - \mathbf{best} - \mathbf{x}(\text{iter})) + c_2 \mathbf{g} \cdot (\mathbf{global} - \mathbf{best} - \mathbf{x}(\text{iter}))$

 $\xi$ - inertial weight,  $c_1$ - cognitive factor  $c_2$  – social factor **local-best** -- the best position of the particle so far **global-best** - the best position in the swarm so far **r**, **g** - random vectors coming from U[0,2]

New position

 $\mathbf{X}(\text{iter} + 1) = \mathbf{X}(\text{iter}) + \mathbf{V}(\text{iter} + 1)$ 

#### **Granular fuzzy model**

granular (interval-valued) parameters [ $\mathbf{a}_{i}$ ,  $\mathbf{a}_{i}$ +]

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{y}} = \sum_{i=1}^{c} B_i(\boldsymbol{x}) f_i(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{c} B_i(\boldsymbol{x}) [\boldsymbol{w}_i + \boldsymbol{a}_i^T(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{v}_i)]$$

$$\boldsymbol{z}_{i} = \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{v}_{i}$$
$$\hat{\boldsymbol{y}} = \boldsymbol{q} \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{c} ([\boldsymbol{a}_{i}^{-}, \boldsymbol{a}_{i}^{+}]^{T} \otimes \boldsymbol{z}_{i})$$

 $[a,b] \square [c,d] = [a+c,b+d]$  $[a,b] \ddot{A}[c,d] = [min(ac,ad,bc,bd),max(ac,ad,bc,bd)]$ 

#### **Evaluation criteria**

Coverage criterion

$$cov = \frac{1}{N} \mathop{\text{a}}_{k=1}^{N} \operatorname{incl}(y_k, Y_k)$$

Specificity criterion

$$spec = \frac{1}{N} \mathop{a}\limits_{k=1}^{N} exp\left(-\left|y_{k}^{+}-y_{k}^{-}\right|\right)$$

Both criteria depends on the assumed value of  $\epsilon$ 

#### **Optimization**

For given  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$  maximize coverage and report specificity values

$$cov = \frac{1}{N} \mathop{a}\limits_{k=1}^{N} \operatorname{incl}(y_k, Y_k)$$

$$spec = \frac{1}{N} \mathop{a}\limits_{k=1}^{N} exp\left(-\left|y_{k}^{+}-y_{k}^{-}\right|\right)$$

### **Optimization: a general evaluation**

Coverage and specificity depend on values of  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ 

Coordinates of coverage and specificity

Area under curve (AUC)

Performance evaluated in terms of AUC

 $\mathbf{y} = (1 + \mathbf{X}_{1}^{-2} + \mathbf{X}_{2}^{-1.5})^{2}$ 





#### Synthetic data $y = (1 + x_1^{-2} + x_2^{-1.5})^2$



#### Local linear models (c =5, m=2.0)





#### Local linear models (c =9, m=2.0)







Training data

#### Testing data



#### Training data

Testing data



m=2

Publicly available data sets used in experiments- a brief description

Name of the	Number of	Number of	Origin of the data	
data	instances	inputs		
Boston housing	506	13	UCI machine learning repository https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/	
Auto-MPG	392	7	UCI machine learning repository	
nuto mi o			http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/	
Stock	tock 950 g		StatLib repository	
STOCK	350	2	http://www.dcc.fc.up.pt/~ltorgo/Regression/	
Concrete Slump	103	0	UCI machine learning repository	
Concrete Stump	105	У	http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/	
Yacht	308	6	UCI machine learning repository	
Hydrodynamics	308	0	http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/	
Format Guard	517	12	UCI machine learning repository	
Forest fires	517	12	https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/	

Publicly available data sets used in experiments- a brief description

Name of the	Number of	Number of	Origin of the data	
data	instances	inputs		
Boston housing	506	13	UCI machine learning repository https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/	
	392	7	UCI machine learning repository	
Auto-MPO			http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/	
Stock	950	9	StatLib repository	
Stock			http://www.dcc.fc.up.pt/~ltorgo/Regression/	
Concrete Slump	103	9	UCI machine learning repository	
Concrete Stump	105	,	http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/	
Yacht	308	6	UCI machine learning repository	
Hydrodynamics	308	0	http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/	
Format Const	517	12	UCI machine learning repository	
Forest fires	517	12	https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/	

### Experiments- Machine Learning Fuzzy model

*RMSE* values of fuzzy models (c = 2, 5, 9 and m = 2.0)

		<i>c</i> = 2	<i>c</i> = 5	<i>c</i> = 9
Boston housing	Training	3.4892±0.0676	3.0078±0.0703	2.6388±0.0675
	Testing	3.7605±0.5430	5.0913±4.3772	5.3335±3.0400
Auto MPG	Training	2.7918±0.0540	2.6695±0.0496	2.5374±0.0614
	Testing	2.8698±0.4809	2.9212±0.4764	4.1705±2.6440
Stock	Training	1.4843±0.0134	1.0080±0.0234	0.9082±0.0104
	Testing	1.4905±0.1256	1.0766±0.0945	1.0144±0.0911
Concrete Slump	Training	1.9926±0.0652	1.2082±0.0857	0.5901±0.1236
	Testing	2.4860±0.6233	2.3828±0.7820	7.5163±3.6688
Yacht Hydrodynamics	Training	2.6702±0.0636	0.9445±0.0513	0.6528±0.0789
	Testing	24.2685±2.2786	14.7590±3.9352	76.5720±22.2185
Forest fires	Training	1.3312±0.0149	1.2883±0.0151	1.2315±0.0161
	Testing	1.4235±0.1696	4.1460±8.0625	6.1332±13.2331











Performance of PSO for the third scenario of allocation of information granularity: (a) Boston housing (c = 2), (b) Auto MPG (c = 9), (c) Stock (c = 2), (d) Concrete Slump (c = 5), (e) Yacht Hydrodynamics (c = 9), (f) Forest fires (c = 5), (g) Boston housing (c = 2), (h) Stock (c = 2), (i) Concrete Slump (c = 5). Dashed lines: population - 10, solid lines: population - 20, Dash-dot lines: population - 40.











transparent bars -c = 2, gray bars -c = 5, and black bars -c = 9.

#### **Hierarchy of granular models**



## Granular fuzzy models of higher type



### coverage/specificity criteria

Type 2

G-FM



coverage/specificity criteria

Type 1





### **Granular fuzzy models granular intervals**



### **Granular fuzzy models Interval-valued fuzzy sets**



### **Granular fuzzy models Granular outliers**





Granular Computing as a general conceptual and algorithmic framework supporting design and analysis pursuits for system modeling and augmenting the methodology of fuzzy modeling

**Fundamentals of Granular Computing** 

Plethora of further detailed algorithmic developments (with specific realizations of information granules – intervals/sets, rough sets, fuzzy sets...)